

China vs. India

A tale of changes in past 4+ decades



China – The starting point

This is a classic case study of a country's journey from zero per capita GDP growth for 150 years till Chinese civil war (1949) growing to 3% till 1978¹ post the inception of industrialization in 1950s to accelerating to a whopping 8% growth since 1978s. Until 1978 China's economy was much smaller compared to G7 countries, they were one of the poorest countries of the world with real per capita GDP one-fortieth of the U.S.

China's opening up of its economy to the outside world in 1980s marked the start of transition for this country with more than 20% of world's population, as they rapidly overtook Japan to be the world's second largest economy in 2010.

So, what changed in China post 1978?

- Privatization and Trade liberalization Opening up previously state dominated industries to non-state entrepreneurs and easing up price controls Most important contributor to overall productivity growth
- Labor deepening Introducing price and institutional reforms in agricultural sector which generated positive incentive effects on farmer's efforts and input choices resulting in 47% agricultural output growth during this period.
- Productivity growth labor reallocation from agriculture to non-state industries with better per capita productivity
- Capital deepening Market liberalization provided farmers with strong incentives to adopt newer technologies, further reducing agriculture's share of total employment and switching erstwhile farmers to nonagricultural sector
- SEZs were set up in various cities with attached tax incentives to attract foreign investors. Today, the economies of cities like Shenzhen have grown to rival the GDPs of entire countries.
- Focus on development of Coastal region as potential catalyst for entire country's modernization.

These efforts culminated into China joining World Trade Organization in 2001 which eventually made this country world's number one trading partner in terms of % share of global exports by 2009; producing labor intensive products such as textiles, toys, clothes, footwear and furniture for companies and ultimately consumers around the globe. The Chinese economy which had grown 50% between 1980 to 1989, and 175% the following decade, now expanded by more than 400% in the decade after its accession to the WTO. There are 124 countries for which China is the largest trade partner vs. 56 countries for U.S.

Meanwhile, the history for India goes

Faced with rising inflation and a balance of payment crisis in mid-1991, India's new government introduced a fairly comprehensive, orthodox policy reform package with currency devaluation as its centerpiece. After pursuing a closed, import-substitution model of trade and development for the previous 40 years, India changed direction and began opening the economy to trade and foreign investment. Yet there were signs that India wasn't hitting its full potential. Average GDP growth of 6.2% over 30 years has been lower than China's 9.2% and even lagged Vietnam's 6.7%.

Real Economy vs. Financial Economy

Back in 1980s, India and China were at similar level playing field – trying to liberalize their economies after decades of frustration with economic policies and resultant isolation of their economies from world trade.

In 1991, the office of controller of the capital issues (CCI) was abolished, thereby allowing NRI and FII investments in corporate sector and (larger) Indian firms could now secure long-term low-cost resources from international capital markets. While the Indian stock markets boomed as supply of long-term loanable funds to corporates rose sharply, a sizeable portion of these funds were divested elsewhere as the ratio of gross fixed capital formation in manufacturing to supply of long-term funds came down significantly during this period. Though India received a significant jump in FDI over the previous decade, foreign firms' share in fixed asset formation in corporate sector remained low. Data suggests that FDI resources further went to acquiring managerial control in existing firms, intercorporate deposit, real estate – fueling property boom of the 1990s, takeover financing, trading existing capital stock leading to speculative activities. In essence, money came in financial economy and not real economy for India thereby diminishing fixed capital formation & infrastructure growth.





India remains a favored FDI destination; however, the country needs reforms to ensure FDI flows play a meaningful role in attaining the \$5 trillion economy target. While these inflows were driven primarily by the services sector, a more proportionate contribution to gross capital formation and the increase in exports can be achieved through greater FDI in manufacturing. Average FDI from FY15 to FY21 in manufacturing was US\$8.6 billion compared to about US\$25 billion for services. Globally, trade in services is a third of merchandise trade. In India's case, the ratio is nearly double that, at 60 per cent. An economy built on this model will see white-collar work even more at a premium, compared to blueand black-collar which automatically disadvantages the poorly educated further increasing the wealth disparity and inequality.

Attracting FDI in capital-intensive sectors is key to the country's gross capital formation and establishing its position as a global trade partner. A large part of foreign money propelled into India's vibrant stocks markets which is fairly visible from the

dominance of FIIs in top 100 listed companies on the Sensex. Specifically, ~35 out of these 100 companies have FII holdings in excess of 25% of their shareholding. India runs a trade deficit of \$50 billion with China (highest against any trading partner) in other words, that way India is sharing 2% of its GDP with China.

Until 1990s there wasn't a formal stock exchange in China enabling foreign investors to invest in the economy, hence the foreign capital went to real economy, especially in China's booming manufacturing export sector (52.74% share of the overall FDI from 1997-2015). More than half of China's exports are now produced by foreign-owned firms. A large proportion of these exports is in assembly trade, in which foreign firms bring parts to China for final assembly and later re-exports, benefiting from cheap overhead costs. To put into perspective, China's trade volume in 2021 exceeded \$6 trillion i.e., 2x of India's GDP.

As relative unit labour costs start to rise in China along with tariff barriers with U.S., investors are slowly switching to other Asian counterparts. At the same time, China has also opened its domestic financial markets to foreign companies which may lead to a shift from efficiency-seeking to market-seeking FDI. Essentially, the country has focused on first build up their real economy by acting as one stop solution for major manufacturing needs, before proceeding to open up its financial markets for outside world.

From Brain Drain to Brain Gain – The differentiating case of India and China

Both India and China have significant part of the younger population moving overseas for education and both countries recognize that reverse migration of diasporic talent can contribute to domestic economic development. The dominance of Chinese and Indian students in US higher education is most pronounced at the doctoral level, and in science and engineering fields in particular.

Historically, China has witnessed a long-term brain drain, in which Chinese students with U.S. Ph.D. degrees are more inclined to stay there than students from other countries. The past decade, however, has witnessed a sharp increase in the proportion of returnees, especially in China. Chinese researchers working in developed countries are coming back, boosting China's scientific endeavors, and also triggering a wave of global knowledge exchange known as brain circulation. For every 10 Chinese students studying abroad, there are 9 students returning home.



On the other hand, India witnessed the sharpest increase in people migrating overseas, at nearly 10 million (one crore), between 2000 and 2020, according to the "International Migration 2020 Highlights". A joke in Silicon Valley is that Telugu and Hindi are the most spoken languages in the tech hub. A study by Ilya A Strebulaev, professor of finance at Stanford University's Graduate School of Business, finds that 90 (highest for any non-US country) out of 1,078 founders across 500 US unicorns were born in India.





The Indian network has contributed to the emergence of a globally competitive software services industry, however there is little evidence of the return of entrepreneurship that could accelerate the upgrading of India's capabilities.

In contrast earlier, Chinese scientists would seek research work overseas, but today Chinese postdoctoral researchers often get experience in the West and then head home where boom in Artificial Intelligence (AI) and quantum computing coupled with the Chinese government's pro-science policies helps set them up in world-class facilities.

Indian policymakers with a more laissez faire approach towards the overseas Indian community, have failed to fully exploit this tremendous resource, in part because of failures to improve the domestic infrastructure and regulatory environment. In the World Bank (2020)'s ease of doing business report 2020, China ranks 31st out of 190 countries, while India ranks 63rd. Some of the core areas which needs substantial improvements are infrastructure, education, introducing better public-private partnerships in areas like healthcare, further liberalizing financial markets and working on environmental issues.



How is the story changing in China after 4 decades of being world's 'Factory' for production?

With education and wages on the rise, shrinking its cost advantage the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) wants to focus on high end manufacturing to grow their middle class and reduce inequality. Regulatory moves in 2021 like -

- Regulating their largest tech companies (Alibaba and Tencent) from monopolistic behavior that was driving prices up on the Chinese consumer
- Putting tough limits on property developers' bank borrowing which was fueling skyrocketing housing prices

supports that effort. China will spend much of the next decade trying to transition their largely planned investment/infrastructure/housing economy to a US styled consumption economy by creating a more self-reliant, high-quality, domestic-consumption-driven economy.

The Belt and Road initiative launched by Xi in 2013, envisions the construction of road and sea connections between China and countries in Southeast Asia, Central Asia and through to Europe. OBOR is an evolutionary step in the strategy designed to create strong physical connections within partner countries that make concrete trade relationships for projection of power for China. China is moving away from U.S. dominated exports to target the countries within the belt and act as hub by opening up its financial markets along with manufacturing economy.

Rise in Patent filings

Change in business plan for Chinese continent can also be substantiated by the rise in patent filings over the past years. Specifically, top five countries (U.S, Europe, Japan, Korea and China) accounted for 85.1% of the world total patent applications filed in 2020, which is 7.7% higher than their combined share in 2010. This is mainly due to strong filing growth in China, whose share of the world total more than doubled during this period, from 19.6% in 2010 to 45.7% in 2020.



Source: World intellectual Property indicators, 2021

India has still not woken up fully to the significance of intellectual property rights (IPR), particularly patents, and their commercial implications. Patent filings and grants in India are dominated by foreign applicants: they filed two-thirds of patent applications in 2018-19 and got four-fifths of grants. Huawei, Mitsubishi Electric, Samsung, and Qualcomm filed more international patent applications each than the whole of India in 2019

One reason why researchers are shy of patenting their ideas in India is the time taken to get a patent. In 2017, India on average took 64 months to grant a patent, compared with 22 months in China.



Way forward

Without investment in physical infrastructure, it will be difficult increase the per capita GDP of the country as difference between haves and haves not can be bridged by increasing the manufacturing jobs. While, India has managed to export its white-collar jobs; growth impetus for a country would depend on the per capita income for its unskilled labor which in case of India needs a strong push given huge size of its unskilled population.

China should not have become a part of WTO; because, it was not a market economy (it was massively subsidizing sector) but, American corporate greed pushed Bill Clinton to allow pave way for China to get into WTO. American corporations gained profit margins as jobs were shipped to China. Tariff barriers, OBOR, China pull back on Tech are indicators that U.S. China relationship could see a material shift in the coming decade.

Source:

¹ Understanding China's Growth: Past, Present and Future by Xiadong Zhu

